Published by: Lembaga Riset Ilmiah, Yayasan Mentari Meraki Asa

International Journal of Economics Social and Technology

Journal homepage: https://jurnal.risetilmiah.ac.id/index.php/ijest



## The Effect of Personal Selling, Premium Prices, and Income Levels on Interest in Buying Insurance Products in (Case Study on Millennial Generation and Gen-Z in Medan City)

Belsasar Pakpahan<sup>1</sup>, Hendra Jonathan Sibarani<sup>2</sup>, Brema Sembiring<sup>3</sup>, Jastin Yehezkiel Batubara<sup>4</sup>, Sari Mariahma Nova Sipayung<sup>5</sup> <sup>1,2,3,4</sup> Program Studi Manajemen, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup> Program Studi Manajemen STMIK Logika, Indonesia

### **ARTICLE INFO**

## ABSTRACT

Article history: Received: June 30, 2023 Revised: July 17, 2023 Accepted: July 19, 2023

#### **Keywords**:

Personal Selling, Premium Prices, Income Levels, Interest in Buying

**Correspondence:** Hendra Jonathan Sibarani hendrajonathansibarani@unprimdn.ac.id

One of the things that can minimize the risk to life is to have insurance because insurance provides benefits to people's lives by reducing the assets that must be set aside to cover losses from various other risks obtained. Personal selling is a benchmark for assessing the company to give customers confidence in the insurance products owned by the company. In addition, the premium price is the determination of customers purchasing insurance products with the level of income as an assessment of the customer's ability to have protection from the risks of life. This research uses a quantitative approach with a sample of 100 respondents. Data analysis in this study used SmartPLS 4.0. Hypothesis testing with the PLS approach is carried out in two stages, namely testing the outer model and the inner model. The results showed that personal selling has a positive and significant effect on purchase intention, the premium price has no significant effect on purchase intention, income level has a negative and significant effect on purchase intention, and personal selling, premium price, and income level simultaneously have a positive and significant effect on purchase intention in Millennial Generation and Gen-Z in Medan City

This is an open-access article under the CC BY license.

# Introduction

One of the things that can minimize the risk to life is to have insurance because insurance provides benefits to people's lives by reducing the assets that must be set aside to cover losses from various other risks that are obtained. Personal selling is a benchmark for assessing the company to give customers confidence in the insurance products owned by the company. In addition, the premium price is the determination of customers purchasing insurance products with the level of income as an assessment of the customer's ability to have protection from the risks of life. Personal selling is a promotional activity carried out between individuals who often meet face to face aimed at creating, improving, controlling, or maintaining exchange relationships that are mutually beneficial to both parties. The existence of personal selling is communication using face-to-face while the other promotion mix is more missal and non-individual. However, this can be a problem that must be resolved by the company in choosing marketing personnel who are capable and have adequate knowledge of insurance products owned by the company.

 $\odot$ 

66

The premium price is an amount of money determined by the insurance or reinsurance company and agreed to be borne by the insurer based on the insurance or reinsurance contract, or the amount of money determined based on the insurance or reinsurance contract from the insurance or insurance reinsurance program, the greater the risk borne, the greater the premium value. Income level is also one of the factors in insurance interest, due to inadequate economic factors. Income is money earned and received based on achievement, such as income from one job or business and income from property income and the amount of a person's income depends on the type of work. The level of community welfare is measured by per capita income. The current per capita income of Indonesian society is still relatively low. Purchase interest is also influenced by personal selling factors, premiums, income, promotions, and so on. Success in influencing consumer purchasing decisions can be done by creating new innovations in the products you want to offer by following current demand trends, this is done because of the attitude of consumers who tend to always follow the times



Figure 1. Premium Insurance Payment Diagram 2020-2022

In Figure 1 above we can see that 51.5% of total premium income came from regular premiums while the other 48.5% came from single premiums. Single premium income fell by around 12% while regular premium recorded a growth of 1.9%. In 2020 the single premium generated 90.27 trillion in 2021 the single premium rose to 105.91 trillion and in 2022 it declined again at 93.22 trillion. In regular premiums, the results from 2020-2022 tend to be stable, in 2020 regular premiums generated 97.33 trillion, in 2021 generated 97.02 trillion and in 2022 generated 98.86 trillion.

# **Literature Review**

Variables	Defenition	Indicator	Scale
Personal Selling (X1)	Personal Selling is a relationship in which two or more people in sequence to create a reciprocal relationship in order to create, change, use, and foster communication relationships between producers and consumers. Source : Sofjan, Assauri (2014:278),	2. Make personal visits	Likert
Premium Prices (X2)	The premium price is the amount of money the insured will pay to the insurer to compensate for a loss, damage, or loss and the expected profit of the insured to the insurer (Transfer of Risk). Source : Abdullah, Amrin (2016:108).	<ol> <li>Selecting the target cost</li> <li>Setting demand</li> </ol>	
Income Level (X3)	The level of revenue is an increase or increase in assets and a decrease or reduction in the company's liabilities as a result of operating activities or the provision of goods and services to the public or consumers in particular Source : Harnanto (2019:102)	<ol> <li>Income received per month</li> <li>Occupation</li> </ol>	Likert

Purchase	Purchase interest also greatly influences	1. Transactional Interest	Likert
Intention	product purchasing decisions, if the product	2. Refrential interest	
(Y)	is too high in interest, and the risk is very high	3. Preferential interest	
	when making transactions, it will influence	4. Exploratory interest	
	the purchase request to purchase financial	Source : Ferdinand (2014:189)	
	products on online loan applications in		
	Medan City		
	Source : Sinaga, C. E., Sibarani, H. J., &		
	Nababan, S. Jumiyanti. (2022).		

#### **Conceptual Framework**



## Figure 2. Conceptual Framework

- H<sub>1</sub> : Personal Selling has a positive and significant effect on buying interest in insurance products in Medan City.
- H<sub>2</sub> : Premium prices have a positive and significant effect on buying interest in insurance products in Medan City.
- $H_3$  : Income level has a positive and significant effect on interest in buying insurance products in Medan City.
- H<sub>4</sub> : Personal Selling, Premium Price and Income Level have a positive and significant effect on buying interest in insurance products in Medan City

# Method

Quantitative method is the method used in this research. The meaning of quantitative is a method based on the ideology of positivism which is used to examine populations or samples used randomly so that using research tools that analyze quantitative aims in hypothesis testing (Sugiyono 2017: 14). Quantitative methods are used to determine the effect of a treatment which is then tested for hypotheses.

# **Research Results**

#### **Measurement Model (Outer Model)**

## **Reliability Test**

## Table 2. Reliability Test Result

Tuble 21 Henubling Test Hesult				
	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite reliability (rho_a)		
(X1) Personal Selling	0.910	0.963		
(X2) Premium Prices	0.827	0.839		
(X3) Income Level	0.832	0.889		
(Y) Purchase Intention	0.853	0.916		

#### Source: Data Processed by Researchers 2023

In Table 2, it can be explained that the personal selling variable with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.910 while the composite reliability is 0.963, it is declared reliable, the premium price variable with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.827 while the composite reliability is 0.839, it is declared reliable, the income level variable with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.832 while the composite reliability is 0.889, it is declared reliable, the purchase interest variable with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.853 while the composite reliability is 0.916, it is declared reliable.

J . . .

#### Validity Test Convergent Validity

Table 3. Loading Factor						
	X1 Personal Selling	X2 Premium Prices	X3 Income Level	Y Purchase Intention		
X1.1	0.741					
X1.5	0.758					
X1.6	0.761					
X1.7	0.841					
X1.8	0.713					
X1.9	0.778					
X1.10	0.751					
X1.11	0.754					
X1.14	0.714					
X2.3		0.750				
X2.7		0.800				
X2.10		0.713				
X2.11		0.801				
X2.12		0.770				
X3.1			0.785			
X3.2			0.840			
X3.4			0.745			
X3.5			0.726			
X3.6			0.744			
Y1				0.715		
Y2				0.788		
Y3				0.707		
Y5				0.725		
Y7				0.750		
Y8				0.827		

Source: Data Processed by Researchers 2023

In Table 3, the loading factor can be explained, namely the personal selling variable, premium price, and income level shows that all loading factors have a value > 0.7 so it can be concluded that all indicators have met the criteria for convergent validity because the indicators for all variables have not been eliminated from the model.

#### **Discriminant Validity**

#### **Table 4. Discriminant Validity**

	Average variance extracted (AVE)	
(X1) Personal Selling	0.574	
(X2) Premium Prices	0.589	
(X3) Income Level	0.591	
(Y) Purchase Intention	0.568	

Source: Data Processed by Researchers 2023

In Table 4, above the AVE value on the latent variable personal selling is 0.574, the premium price is 0.589, the income level is 0.591 and the purchase intention is 0.568 from the above variables showing a latent value greater than> 0.50. So it can be said that the measurement model has valid descriminant validity. In addition, discriminant validity is also carried out based on the Fornell Larcker criteration measurement with the construct. According to Dandi Pratama, Novrian, et al (2018), if the construct correlation on each indicator is greater than other constructs, it means that the latent construct can predict indicators better than other constructs.

Tuble 5.1 of nen Barcker er ter fon							
	(X1) Personal Selling	(X2) Premium Prices	(X3) Income Level	(Y) Purchase Intention			
(X1) Personal Selling	0.758						
(X2) Premium Prices	0.229	0.767					
(X3) Income Level	0.043	0.468	0.769				
(Y) Purchase Intention	0.302	0.14	-0.169	0.753			

Source: Data Processed by Researchers 2023

In Table 5. Fornell Larcker criterion can be explained by successively starting from the personal selling variable of 0.758, premium price of 0.767, income level of 0.769, and purchase intention of 0.753. Based on Table 5, it appears that each statement indicator has the highest loading factor value on the latent construct tested than the other latent constructs, meaning that each statement indicator is able to be predicted well by each latent construct in other words, discriminant validity is valid. So it can be concluded from the table results that all constructs meet the discriminant validity criteria.

Table 6. Cross Loading							
	(X1) Personal Selling	(X2) Premium Prices	(X3) Income Level	(Y) Purchase Intention			
X1.1	<mark>0.741</mark>	0.134	0.063	0.133			
X1.10	<mark>0.751</mark>	0.14	-0.011	0.185			
X1.11	<mark>0.754</mark>	0.216	0.011	0.152			
X1.14	<mark>0.714</mark>	0.011	-0.004	0.217			
X1.5	<mark>0.758</mark>	0.13	0.117	0.089			
X1.6	<mark>0.761</mark>	0.199	0.041	0.205			
X1.7	<mark>0.841</mark>	0.202	0.031	0.374			
X1.8	<mark>0.713</mark>	0.165	0	0.143			
X1.9	<mark>0.778</mark>	0.292	0.077	0.283			
X2.10	0.16	<mark>0.713</mark>	0.355	0.072			
X2.11	0.18	<mark>0.801</mark>	0.266	0.1			
X2.12	0.111	<mark>0.770</mark>	0.32	0.11			
X2.3	0.186	<mark>0.750</mark>	0.339	0.114			
X2.7	0.231	<mark>0.800</mark>	0.492	0.129			
X3.1	0.05	0.222	<mark>0.785</mark>	-0.094			
X3.2	0.034	0.341	<mark>0.840</mark>	-0.184			
X3.4	0.085	0.406	<mark>0.745</mark>	-0.095			
X3.5	0.081	0.447	<mark>0.726</mark>	-0.08			

X3.6	-0.039	0.413	<mark>0.744</mark>	-0.141
Y1	0.101	0.071	-0.118	<mark>0.715</mark>
Y2	0.168	0.122	-0.102	<mark>0.788</mark>
Y3	0.061	0.033	-0.213	<mark>0.707</mark>
Y5	0.234	0.044	-0.186	<mark>0.725</mark>
Y7	0.15	0.165	-0.031	<mark>0.750</mark>
Y8	0.43	0.164	-0.115	<mark>0.827</mark>

Source: Data Processed by Researchers 2023

Table 6 above shows that the cross-loading value for each indicator of each latent variable is greater than the value of other latent variables and has a value of > 0.7. This means that each latent variable already has good discriminant validity, whereas some latent variables have measures that are highly correlated with other constructs. If the measurement model is valid and reliable, the next step can be done, namely evaluating the structural model and if not, then you have to re-construct the path diagram.

#### **Structural Model Test**

According to Ghozali and Latan (2015: 78), structural model testing is carried out by looking at the relationship between constructs.



#### **Figure 3 Structural Model** Source: Data Processed by Researchers 2023

#### **R Square Value**

#### Tabel 7 Adjusted R.Square

	R-square	R-square adjusted		
Y Purchase Intention	0.156	0.13		
Courses Data Dropped by December 2022				

Source: Data Processed by Researchers 2023

The construct value of buying interest in insurance obtained an Adjusted R.Square value of 0.13 which can be interpreted that the variation in buying interest variables can be explained by personal selling variables, premium prices, and income levels by 13%, while the remaining 87% is explained by other variables outside of the variables studied.

Table 8. Hypothesis Test							
	Original sample (O)	Sample mean (M)	Standard deviation (STDEV)	T statistics (O/STDEV)	P values		
X1 Personal Selling -> Y Purchase Intention	0.266	0.275	0.128	2.085	0.038		
X2 Premium Prices-> Y Purchase Intention	0.209	0.214	0.131	1.6	0.11		
X3 Income Levels-> Y Purchase Intention	-0.278	-0.274	0.133	2.089	0.037		

#### **Hypothesis Test**

Source: Data Processed by Researchers 2023

In testing the significance, it is necessary to calculate *ttabel* and *thitung* with a significance level of 0.05, with *ttabel* = TINV (0.05, number of samples - 3), namely ttabel = TINV (0.05, 97), so that *ttabel* is 1.98 so that the exogenous latent variables on the endogenous latent variables can be described as follows:

1. Personal Selling (H1)

Personal selling has a t-statistics value of 2.085 > 1.98 and a p-value of 0.038, so personal selling has a positive and significant influence on insurance purchase intention in the MILLENIAL generation and Gen-Z in Medan City.

2. Premium Price (H2)

The premium price has a t-statistics value of 1.6 < 1.98 and a p-value of 0.1, so the premium price does not have a significant effect on the interest in buying insurance for the MILLENIAL generation and Gen-Z in Medan City.

3. Income Levels (H3)

The income level has a t-statistics value of 2.089 > 1.98 and a p-value of 0.1, so the income level has a negative and significant effect on the interest in buying insurance for the MILLENIAL generation and Gen-Z in Medan City.

## Discussion

#### The Effect of Personal Selling on Interest in Buying Insurance Products in Medan City

The t-statistic value of 2.085 > 1.98 and the p-value of 0.038, then personal selling has a positive and significant influence on the interest in buying insurance for the MILLENIAL Generation and Gen-Z in Medan City, which means that if personal selling has increased, in this case the addition of operational costs, it will also increase the company's sales volume, and vice versa if personal selling has decreased, in this case a reduction in personal selling operational costs, this will also reduce the company's sales volume acquisition. In other words, the greater the personal selling operational costs incurred by the company, the more impact it will have on increasing the company's sales volume. Similar results are also stated in the research of Siagian, Ernita & Ginting, Maretta (2022), the results of this study indicate that the Ha1 hypothesis is accepted, namely personal selling variable (X1) is 3.495 > 1.67155 and the significant value is 0.001 < 0.05. In this study, personal selling has a positive and significant influence on consumer buying interest due to the company's ability to market its products. In addition, personal selling is expected to be direct personal contact between the seller and the buyer. Besides explaining or informing about products and persuading potential buyers, personal selling is also able to accommodate complaints and suggestions from buyers to sellers so that they can become feedback for the company.

#### Effect of Premium Price on Interest in Buying Insurance Products in Medan City

The value of t-statistics 1.6 < 1.98 and the value of p-values 0.1, so the premium price does not have a significant influence on the interest in buying insurance for the MILLENIAL generation and Gen-Z in Medan City. The price of insurance premiums is a very sensitive and important thing for policyholders in attracting interest in choosing an insurance product, in other words, from the results of this study that high and low premium prices are not a significant problem for customers. According to Kotler & Armstrong (2014: 151), price is the amount of money charged for a good or service or the amount of money value that consumers exchange for the benefits of owning or using the product or service. Voss and Giroud (2016: 69) in Ramadhan (2016: 26) say that when customers evaluate and evaluate the price of a product, it will be

greatly influenced by the customer's own behavior. The behavior of the customer is buying interest, where buying interest will make the customer decide to buy. This research is inversely proportional to previous research conducted by Amelia, Nikita Dara (2018), which states that the results of the study show that the premium price has a significant effect on buying interest in insurance products of PT. Sun Life Financial Kediri Branch.

#### Effect of Income Level on Interest in Buying Insurance Products in Medan City

The t-statistics value is 2.089 > 1.98 and the p-values value is 0.1, so the income level has a positive but insignificant effect on the interest in buying insurance for the MILLENIAL Generation and Gen-Z in Medan City, which means that the level of income is one of the factors that affect the customer's buying ability, so it can be said that if the income level of consumers increases or more, it will affect consumer interest in buying insurance products or it can be said that the more income a person has, the level of purchases he makes will increase. Vice versa, if the income level of consumers decreases or gets less, it will affect consumer interest to reduce the number of purchases of insurance products or it can be said that the level of purchases he makes will decrease. So that this is in accordance with previous research conducted by Aditya, Hakam (2019), which states the results of the analysis test in this study show that income has a positive and significant effect on customer decisions in insurance. As based on the results of the study, the tcount value is 2.075 and the significance is 0.041, it can be concluded that Ho is rejected and H1 is accepted, thus the income variable affects the customer's decision to choose insurance services at Bumiputera Sharia Life Insurance in Bandar Lampung City

# The Effect of Personal Selling, Premium Prices, and Income Levels on Interest in Buying Insurance Products in Medan City

The construct value of buying interest in insurance gets an R.Square value of 0.156 which can be interpreted that the variation in the purchase interest variable can be explained by the personal selling variable, premium price and income level by 15.6%, while the remaining 84.4% is explained by other variables outside of the variables studied. Consumer behavior in the purchasing process begins with a purchase interest where consumers get information, references and even compare products with one another and others before making a purchase.

# Conclusion

The results of the research that has been done can be drawn conclusions, namely:

- 1. Personal Selling has a positive and significant effect on buying interest in Millennial Generation and Gen-Z in Medan City.
- 2. Premium price does not have a significant effect on buying interest in the Millennial Generation and Gen-Z in Medan City.
- 3. Income level has a negative and significant effect on buying interest in the Millennial Generation and Gen-Z in Medan City.
- 4. Personal selling, premium prices, and income levels simultaneously have a positive and significant effect on buying interest in Millennial Generation and Gen-Z in Medan City

## Suggestions

- 1. The insurance company should increase personal selling, premium prices, and see the situation of the income level of prospective consumers, which in this study states that these variables have an effect on buying interest.
- 2. For future researchers, it is recommended that they conduct and develop this research with other variables that can influence buying interest in insurance because there are still other factors that influence buying interest in the Millennial Generation and Gen-Z in Medan City.

# References

Abdullah dan Tantri. 2015. Manajemen Pemasaran. Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada

Amrin, A. (2016). Data Mining Dengan Regresi Linier Berganda Untuk Peramalan Tingkat Inflasi. Jurnal Techno Nusa Mandiri, XIII(1), 74–79. Retrieved from

http://ejournal.nusamandiri.ac.id/ejurnal/index.php/techno/article/view/268

Assauri Sofjan. 2014. Manajemen Pemasaran. Raja Grafindo Persada: Jakarta.

Ghozali, I. & Latan, H. (2015) Partial Least Squares: Konsep, Teknik dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Program SmartPLS 3.0. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.

Harnanto, Drs.M. Soc.Sc.2019. Dasar - Dasar Akuntansi.Yogyakarta

Kotler dan Armstrong. (2014). Manajemen Pemasaran. Edisi 15. Jilid 1. Jakarta: Erlangga.

Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). Manajemen Pemasaran. Jakarta: Erlangga

- Novrian Dandi Pratama, Ahim Abdurahim, Dan Hafiez Sofyani. 2018. "Determinan Efektivitas Implementasi Anggaran Berbasis Kinerja Dan Penyerapan." Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Vol 8 No 1
- Satiti, Pilar. 2014. Jurnal Publikasi. Pengaruh Pendapatan dan Peran dan Aparat Kelurahan Terhadap Kesadaran Masyarakat dalam Membayar Pajak Bumi dan Bangunan di Semanggi: Surakarta.
- Sinaga, C. E., Sibarani, H. J., & Nababan, S. jumiyanti. (2022). Pengaruh Persepsi, Minat Beli dan Kepercayaan Terhadap Pembelian Produk Finansial pada Aplikasi Pinjaman Online di Kota Medan. Management Studies and Entrepreneurship Journal (MSEJ), 3(3), 1273–1284. https://doi.org/10.37385/msej.v3i3.572

Sugiyono. (2017). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D. Bandung : Alfabeta, CV

Ferdinand, Augusty. (2014). Metode Penelitian Manajemen, Semarang, Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro